**ISSN 1840-4855**
**e-ISSN 2233-0046**

**Review Article**
**http://dx.doi.org/10.70102/afts.2025.1833.700**

# A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF THE ROLE AND IMPACT OF CHATBOTS IN HIGHER EDUCATION

Vandita Sharma[1], Nitu Sehrawat[2*], ManishaVerma[3], Ruchi Sharma[4], Anil Kumar[5]

*[1]Department of Applied Science, Bharati Vidyapeeth's College of Engineering, Paschim Vihar, New Delhi, India. e-mail: sharmavini1507@gmail.com,*
*orcid: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9407-7620*
*[2]Department of Applied Science, Bharati Vidyapeeth's College of Engineering, Paschim Vihar, New Delhi, India. e-mail: nitu.sehrawat@bharatividyapeeth.edu,*
*orcid: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-6165-087X*
*[3]Department of Applied Sciences and Humanities, G L Bajaj Institute of Management and Technology, Noida, India. e-mail: manishav9@gmail.com,*
*orcid: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0068-6677*
*[4]Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Bharati Vidyapeeth's College of Engineering, Paschim Vihar, New Delhi, India.*
*e-mail: ruchi.sharma@bharatividyapeeth.edu, orcid: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4670-106X*
*[5]Department of Applied Science, Bharati Vidyapeeth's College of Engineering, Paschim Vihar, New Delhi, India. e-mail: dranilchhikara@gmail.com,*
*orcid: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-8333-4337*

***Received: August 10, 2025; Revised: September 29, 2025; Accepted: November 13, 2025; Published: December 20, 2025***

SUMMARY

Concerns about the application of AI, for instance, include issues of morality, accuracy, and trust. AI chatbots recently transformed educational systems around the globe. They are available for more than just simple queries; they can provide explanations, additional material and support for learning whenever required. Chatbots may also take the form of a teaching assistant who helps fill in the gaps left by an educator owing to various factors. This paper explores the use of AI chatbots in education and the benefits it presents. The use of AI chatbots in education systems come with opportunities, challenges, limitations, concerns and prospects that are discussed. Numerous academic search engines were searched in this regard. 67 academic studies out of many were selected after certain exclusion-inclusion criteria were applied for review. The results of research indicate that there are many advantages in incorporating AI chatbots into the education system from the point of view of students and that of educators. Our analysis showed that students benefit from interaction with AI powered chatbots in homework and study support, personalized education, and skill development. Time effective help in assisting tacticians and bettering the teaching methods are the main benefits for the tacticians. Despite this, however, our investigation also points to several serious issues and constraints that may be faced by educators.

Key words: *AI chatbots, education, personalized learning, homework support, teacher assistance, artificial intelligence (ai) ethics, student benefits, teaching challenges, educational technology.*

## INTRODUCTION

In today's world, perhaps the use of artificial intelligence (AI) in higher education is one of the most innovative strategies. It has also become possible because significant developments in natural language processing have made it necessary to improve the operating systems of educational institutions and their learning practices. One such tool is ChatGPT, a chatbot. It is reported that chatbots have immense potential to supplement traditional learning especially in automating mundane tasks, providing real-time feedback, and delivering customized learning.

That said, the very use of AI in a classroom poses a unique set of challenges. Educational institutions have to deal with resistance since there is anxiety about the ethical usage of the systems, data security, and cost of implementation [4]. Both teachers and learners are likely to be apprehensive about AI technology taking over significant learning activities, issues of control, trust and affective engagement being obvious causes of concern. Hence, for there to be a successful integration of AI in such settings, these issues have to be addressed.

This study looks into quite familiar theories such as the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), and the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) in order to understand the process of acceptance of chatbots. These theories explain to great extent regarding behavior regarding new technology how such attitudes, perceptions and social factors are matched. The study also investigates the negative emotional responses that might restrict acceptance such as the creepiness factor and how such barriers can be addressed.

At the same time, this research also draws attention to the beneficial aspects of the chatbots in higher education. The raw data shows that there are some constructive changes with regard to student engagement and academic achievement from hostly administrative work to self-learning and mentorship [6]. However, with the proliferation of the enabling technologies comes the need to consider ethical concerns on the participation, accessibility and fairness.

This paper looks at the available literature and case studies and seeks to predict the current status and future roles of chatbots in higher education [8]. It is hoped that the context of the dialogue will assist the designers, the teachers, the policy makers in the appropriate and justifiable use of the tools so as to enable an equitable educational environment.

## THEORETICAL MODELS

The aforementioned theories which assess the behaviour of users as well as their technology acceptance can be employed in a coherent way to address the issue of the occurrence and usage of chatbots in higher educational institutions. This section focuses on the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), and the Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) [7]. These models create an orderly structure in which one can analyse various factors that influence the application of educational chatbots like ChatGPT.

### Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)

The Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) was framed by Ajzen [1] and adopts a rather useful stance within the comprehension of technology centric behavioural intents. This theory has given rise to three ideas: attitude, subjective norm, and perceived behavioural control (PBC) [10].

Table 1. Components of the theory of planned behavior (TPB)

| Component of TPB | Definition |
|---|---|
| Attitude | Special predisposition in positive or negative sense toward performing the behavior. |
| Subjective Norms | Perceived social pressure to engage in or not to engage in a particular behavior |
| Perceived Behavioural Control | The perception which is the easiness or difficulty associated with performing behaviour, so called self-efficacy. |

*Here is a rudimentary overview of the previously mentioned components of TPB:*

**Attitude:** Students tend to adopt chatbots due to the reason that they believe chatbots can make learning more efficient, hence reducing the burdensome tasks. Similarly, on the case of instructors, their willingness to use chatbots may depend on how they view the chatbots in relation to improving instructional design or reducing the workloads for administration.

**Subjective Norms:** There are factors like the common practice of using chatbots by most people, peer influence, and assistance from the institution which may help create a norm that supports the usage of chatbots. Subjective norms of the employees and students can also be reinforced by the universities that have a great appetite for is in the quest for education.

**Perceived Behavioural Control:** Users are more likely to accept and use chatbots when they believe in their ability to use the tools effectively. This can be enhanced by training and the use of intuitive designs [2]. Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) 1 Two factors are stressed on in Davis's (1989) [13] Technology acceptance model (TAM) which are perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use [3]. These are the elements that shape users' positive or negative attitudes and behavioural intent for technology.

**Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)**

Table 2. Components of the technology acceptance model (TAM)

| TAM Component | Description |
|---|---|
| Perceived Usefulness | The degree to which a user believes that the use of the technology will help him/her improve performance. |
| Perceived Usability | The degree to which the user of the technology perceives it easy to operate. |

*After that, we present a simple description of the TAM Components cited in the previous section:*

**Perceived Utility:** Chatbots, offering a quick response for the user, encouraging the students' self-study, and efficiently solving the administrative patients' problems can be seen as useful by the students. Chatbots can help in enhancing the support and speeding up the processes for the students and thus faculty may see the need to use them.

**Perceived Ease of Use:** The effectiveness of any chatbot is dependent on how easy it is to use the system and how quickly a new user can start using the system. For example, chatbots that are designed to operate within existing systems, such as LMS, reduce the burden of the technology enhancement

**Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)**

Venkatesh et al. (2003) upheld further the Technology Acceptance Model by introducing the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology that incorporates other variables such as Social Influence and Facilitating Conditions.

Table 3. Components of the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT)

| UTAUT Construct | Description |
|---|---|
| Performance Expectancy | The conviction held that job efficiency is likely to increase through the use of the technology. |
| Effort Expectancy | The degree to which the technology is simple to use. |
| Social Influence | The effect of social variables on a person's choice to adopt the technology. |
| Facilitating Conditions | The presence of conducive factors for the use of the technology. |

*Ancillary explanation of the aforementioned UTAUT Constructs is provided in a short.*

**Achievement Expectancy:** Several factors affect the acceptance of chatbots, particularly their ability to boost educational performance by offering quick assistance and more tailored learning paths.

**Effort Expectancy:** Different user categories are more likely to accept chatbots if the interfaces are easy to learn and use because of responsive design technology.

**Social Influence:** Students tend to accept chatbots more when the use of such applications is encouraged by instructors and classmates alike. Similarly, the behaviour of peers or policies of the institution can affect the teachers also.

**Facilitating Conditions:** For a successful absorption of chatbots into a school system, there must be adequate, clear policies, infrastructure and technical assistance.

**Comparative Analysis of Theoretical Models**

Theories of acceptance model emphasizes objective and subjective expectations of is and ease of use from user perspective while theory of planned behavior has a focus on understand the psychological and social reasons of behaviors. UTAUT elaborates on these positions by integrating evaluation criteria related to organizational support and outside factors. Together these theories provide insights into the processes underlying the integration of chatbots into the educational practices of higher learning institutions.

**Applications in Higher Education**

Research indicates that educational environments support these models. For example, TPB has been used to investigate people's readiness for the use of new learning technologies, and it has been found that one important factor influencing incidence rates is perceived behavioral control.

Research using the TAM model has mostly been done to gauge how well chatbots serve and satisfy users, particularly when responding to their questions.

Additionally, UTAUT represents an institutional viewpoint on peer support for technology use.

These frameworks enable organizations to develop strategies to address users' concerns, enhance the chatbot's functionality, and improve the user experience in general.

CHATBOTS IN HIGHER EDUCATION: VARIABLES

There are several factors that affect the use of chatbots in higher education. These factors are very important for how users accept and behave with them. This section focuses on two such factors, namely, emotional reactions, trust and perceived control. To address the practical aspects of the successful implementation of chatbots in education, the analysis of these factors includes their theoretical frameworks and real-life findings.

**Trust and Perceived Behavioral Control**

Trust is one of the pivotal determinants in the usage and acceptance of the chatbots by the users. It also affects the willingness to accept and remain gratified over a period of time. Likewise, the users' perceived behavioural control which influences the ability to use the chatbot technology effectively, is a function of trust and ease of use [3,6].

Table 4. Trust factors in chatbot interaction

| Trust Factors | Discussion |
|---|---|
| Preuse Trust | Trust developed beforehand of employing the chatbot, which is Fuerte Mente affected by the reputation of the institution and its careful communication. |
| Continued Trust | Trust is formed over time by way of multiple positive engagements, dependable performance and above all consistency. |

**Discussion on Trust Factors**

**Preuse Trust:** Trust before use can be built and developed by clearly presenting the features of the chatbot, data protection policy, and the use case. In order to alleviate users' skepticism, organizations may conduct orientation sessions or advertisement campaigns with positive case studies.

**Sustained Trust:** The persistent reliance is aided by reliable performance of the chatbots that users interact with. In this context, when students request help from the chatbot and always receive accurate and timely assistance, they start trusting the chatbot more which in turn enhances acceptance over time.

Table 5. Perceived behavioral control

| Perceived Behavioural Control | Key Considerations |
|---|---|
| Self-Efficacy | The level of confidence the individuals have towards their ability to utilize the chatbot. |
| Convenience of Use | How comfortable and user friendly the functionality of the chatbot is. |

**Key Considerations of Perceived Behavioural Control**

Table 6. Emotional response

| Emotional Response | Impact on Acceptance |
|---|---|
| Creepiness | Feeling uneasy or uncomfortable which leads to fall in trust and engagement of the users. |
| Positive Emotions | Likewise, satisfaction, enjoyment, feeling of help which support continued usage. |

**Self-Efficacy:** Engaging users in the discovery and interface of chatbots, calls for high levels of self-efficacy. For instance, in order to assist the users in embracing the technology more, universities can offer them some workshops, video guides or some kind and manuals.

**Convenience of Use:** Chatbots that are seamlessly embedded into existing big interfaces such as university portals or learning management systems (LMS) are less challenging to adopt and are more friendly to both the educand and the educator.

**Emotional Responses: Cuckoo Factor and Acceptance**

The ways in which users perceive or interact with speech therapy software systems, chatbots and the likes, are greatly influenced by emotions. Negative emotions such as impedance or aversion may inhibit the user from operating the technology in question. On the contrary, positive emotions enhance the usage of technological devices. Onal Response and its Impact on Acceptance:

**Creepiness:** Otherwise referred to as the "cuckoo factor", creepiness can be defined as a state of disturbance which paradoxically might be brought about by a conversational agent who is overly performing their duties. On a negative note, a conversational agent that utilizes very sensitive details without permission may be disconcerting. That is why, when designing such interactive technologies, designers should foremost focus on transparency and protect healthy limits in conversations with the chatbot, lest such misunderstandings occur.

**Positive Emotions:** These positive feelings can be traced back to other things, like chatbots' use of kind language, their appealing design, and their quick and accurate feedback. For example, most chatbots can send students motivating messages when they are under a lot of academic stress, which can make them more open to talking to the bot.

Table 7. Components of creepiness scale (CROSS)

| Creepiness Scale (CRoSS) | Design Implications |
|---|---|
| Personalization Boundaries | Do not over customize so that the audience's comfort is not annoyed. |
| Transparency | Explain the chatbot's skills, goal, and data utilization in plain terms. |

**The Limits of Personalization:** Even the most personalized experiences can hurt when they are too intrusive. For chatbots and other intelligent systems to stay useful and relevant, they need to be designed with built-in limits on personalization in their Creepiness Risk-Shaping design factors (CRoSS).

The Creepiness Scale theory ought to be utilized in other suitable academic or administrative settings.

**Transparency:** To earn the clients' trust and reduce the creepiness quotient, there must be clarity on what information is collected and how it is processed by chatbots. These institutions need to ensure that their users understand the privacy issues associated with the technology and how they benefit from the technology.

**Relationship between Trust and Emotions:** The connection between control, trust and emotional responses is fundamental in the adoption of chatbots in higher education. User satisfaction and commitment is enhanced when positive emotions and trust are built. On the contrary, negative emotions such as creepiness could be destructive to trust and subsequently, usage.

**In order to tackle those aspects:**

- After building trust with the stakeholders via open communication, an organization has to also maintain the same levels of performance so as not to lose the gained trust.
- A well thought out chatbot placement, an understanding language and interaction, all add to the positive emotion.
- Creepiness factors can be avoided by practicing openness while defining personalization limits, and ensuring that the ethical standards of the chatbots are adhered to.

By systematically addressing these variables, institutions are likely to foster an enabling environment that maximizes the use of chatbots in enhancing the educational experience.

## EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES ON THE USE OF CHATBOTS AT HIGHER LEARNING INSTITUTIONS

Numerous experimental studies have evaluated the use of chatbots in colleges focusing on their effectiveness, challenges, and ethical aspects [14]. This part discusses the results of studies addressing student engagement and learning performance and describes the issues and opportunities of using chatbot technology in the higher education sector.

### Student Engagement and Learning Performance

The Student Engagement and Learning Performance Self-directed learning and student engagement are two prominent aspects which the introduction of chatbots has been very successful in addressing. It enables the carrying out of dministrative duties, assists as a distant mentor, and gives instant responses all of which improve one's scholarly work and learning results.

Table 8. Key findings on chatbot applications in education

| Study Focus | Key Findings |
|---|---|
| Mentoring | Chatbots effectively support self-study and personalized mentoring, providing real-time assistance. |
| Student Queries | Chatbots efficiently manage large volumes of student questions and provide consistent feedback. |

The main conclusions emanating from the aforementioned aspects of the research are presented in the following order.

**Mentorship:** Chatbots serve as flexible tools for personalized mentorship by addressing the unique requirements of every learner. For instance, Neumann et al. (2021) found that chatbots can provide

developmentally appropriate resources and feedback which emulate aspects of traditional mentoring. This maintains a personalized learning system, but reduces the dependency on the professors' availability.

**Answering Students:** It is necessary to have the chatbot in place to help relieve pressure from the staff and provide at least some answers during peak periods such as examination or admission periods, to reduce the response time and the amount of administration work. Lo (2023) also found that chatbots can respond to some of the common concerns such as those related to deadlines, course contents, or processes.

**Ethical Considerations and Challenges**

In addition to this, as Extract 4 shows, there are also positive repercussions for students who utilize chatbots from their engagement in these types of multitasking learning tasks. Chatbots are defined as intelligent systems that provide:

**The Frequency of Usage:** The amount of use by an individual over a given period, such as during a semester or course by students with the chatbot.

**The Precision of the inquired response:** The ratio of the inquired responses that were suitably and effectively answered by the chatbot.

**Learning outcomes:** Improvement in feedback scores, grade points, or completion rate of courses for students attributed to their social interactions with the given assessment tool.

These measurements imply that chatbots enhance the access of resources as well as provide the students who, for example, would tend to avoid asking for help in person, with a feeling that help is always available. However, their implementation in institutions of higher education presents considerable ethical challenges. We need to be aware of the problems that these harmful effects cause, especially when AI is used in schools.

Table 9. Ethical issues in chatbot interactions

| Ethical Issue | Description |
|---|---|
| Privacy | Security Ensure user safety through protection of certain information especially during interactions with the Chabot. |
| Bias | Impartiality Provision of responses that are not slanted towards any notion and are fair and just for every interaction. |

Proposed Textual Remedies for Ethical Conundrums:

**Unambiguous Rules in Data Handling Policies:** The process of describing how data is gathered, stored, and used must be accommodated by all regulations pertaining to the collection and use of the data that customers leave behind, including FERPA and GDPR.

**Techniques for Resolving Bias:** In order to identify algorithmic biases, datasets need to be reviewed on a regular basis. Diverse data fabrics should also be used to increase diversity.

**Process of Informed Consent:** Following an explanation, people should be made fully aware of how their data will be kept private and what else will be done with it.

*Study in Brief*

The research studies primarily emphasize the application of chatbots within colleges, focusing on the advantages of using such technology. For example, the first set of studies proposes that the advantages associated with enhanced operational efficiency, personalized learning, and motivating student engagement have brought about significant benefits. The studies bring to light demerits as well, which

have to be overcome so as to facilitate healthy use.

a) Chatbot Functionality: The algorithms of the customer service chatbot should be regularly upgraded in order to optimize satisfaction levels as well as accuracy.

b) Promoting Ethical Usage: Reasonable policies, strong measures, as well as reducing biases are implemented to overcome ethical issues.

c) Evaluation of Effectiveness. The effectiveness of a chat-bot tool can be evaluated on objective criteria, including user satisfaction, level of interaction, as well as educational performance.

d) One such technological development is the use of chatbots, which can assist schools in creating a welcoming environment while making sure they do not tip the scale too much on the innovation side while forgetting about their moral responsibilities. Thus, suggestions from institutions should be considered.

## CONCLUSION

The role of higher education has operated as a transforming factor within the academia environment, as a result of the emergence of chatbots, especially AI-driven tools such as ChatGPT, which encourage high levels of interactivity from students. For the research, it has been identified that the essential factors underlying the adoption of chatbots have been elucidated based on a number of theoretical frameworks, such as the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology, Technology Acceptance Model, as well as the Theory of Planned Behaviour. The three dimensions underlying the acceptance, as well as the adoption, of the technology identified are Psychological Trust, Perceived Behavioural Control, and Affective Response [5].

The experimental study confirms the advantages of chatbots, namely enhancing the outcome of learning, appropriately answering questions from students, as well as the mentorship processes, which could be handled on a scalable level. Nevertheless, along with the mentioned advantages, some ethical dimensions concerning equity, confidentiality, privacy of data, and so on, have arisen. In order to resolve this matter, it is necessary to implement a comprehensive approach to data management, be transparent, as well as make attempts to remove discrimination based on algorithms. The user perspective has also been significantly influenced by emotions, particularly within the context of the so-called Cuckoo Syndrome, concerning ‘the creepiness factor’ of AI communications. The database should be designed so as to be caring, kind, within limitations, to gain the trust of consumers, as well as diminish negative emotions.

The results of this research have identified the future use of the chatbots within the context of higher education, while at the same time fulfilling the need to implement the chatbots in a cautious, ethical, and balanced way [12]. The administrators within the education domain can use theoretical approaches, as well as research studies, to develop ways to maximize the positive impact of chatbots on their audience. These include inducing positive emotions through empathic design, promoting users' competence through training and user-friendly design, and communication to build trust [9].

The future research agenda may centre on longitudinal studies, which in this case will examine the impact of chatbots on users’ sustained satisfaction and academic performance [11]. Moreover, there is scope beyond this level in educational transformation by exploring the more sophisticated capabilities of AI technologies like multimodal and adaptive learning systems [2]. By solving existing problems and taking advantage of new possibilities, higher education institutions would be in a position to create a psychologically supportive environment to the greatest possible extent where chatbots can be used to improve learning and participation to their fullest potential.

REFERENCES

[1] Ajzen I. The theory of planned behavior. Organizational behavior and human decision processes. 1991 Dec 1;50(2):179-211. https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T

[2] Roy VI, Janardhanan KA. Exploring the relationship between teacher job satisfaction, self-efficacy, and student engagement in engineering classrooms. Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services. 2025;15(1):107–114. https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2025.IJISS.15.1.15

[3] Ajzen I. Perceived behavioral control, self-efficacy, locus of control, and the theory of planned behavior 1. Journal of applied social psychology. 2002 Apr;32(4):665-83. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2002.tb00236.x

[4] Doniyorov A, Mamatov A, Safarov S, Khudayberganov K, Alisher S, Iskandarov S, Babajanov L. Educational programs on environmental engineering for water ecosystem protection in Uzbekistan's schools. International Journal of Aquatic Research and Environmental Studies. 2025;5(1):669-84. https://doi.org/10.70102/IJARES/V5I1/5-1-60

[5] Bandura A. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. Psychological review. 1977 Mar;84(2):191. https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.191

[6] Sesmiarni Z, Darmawati G, Yuspita YE, Yeri S, Ikhsan I. Android-Based Augmented Reality: An Alternative in Mastering Tajweed for Student Learning. Journal of Internet Services and Information Security. 2023 May;13(2):30-47. https://doi.org/10.58346/JISIS.2023.I2.002

[7] Bhattacherjee A, Sanford C. The intention–behaviour gap in technology usage: the moderating role of attitude strength. Behaviour & information technology. 2009 Jul 1;28(4):389-401. https://doi.org/10.1080/01449290802121230

[8] Gopal GR, Gopal GL, Sayfiddinov J, Chokkalingam VK, Rajendran M, Ergashev R. Evaluating the role of information services in supporting linguistic minorities in education. Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services. 2025;15(2):287–292.

[9] Carroll J. Completing design in use: closing the appropriation cycle. ECIS 2004 Proceedings. 2004 Jan 1:44.

[10] Rakhmanovich IU, Taslim M, Raman AM, Ugli AA. The rise of behavioral economics: Leveraging AI to understand consumer decision-making. InAIP Conference Proceedings 2025 Jun 5 (Vol. 3306, No. 1, p. 050007). AIP Publishing LLC. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0276470

[11] Croes EA, Antheunis ML. Can we be friends with Mitsuku? A longitudinal study on the process of relationship formation between humans and a social chatbot. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships. 2021 Jan;38(1):279-300. https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407520959463

[12] Dave T, Athaluri SA, Singh S. ChatGPT in medicine: an overview of its applications, advantages, limitations, future prospects, and ethical considerations. Frontiers in artificial intelligence. 2023 May 4;6:1169595. https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2023.1169595

[13] Davis FD. Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use and User Acceptance of Information Technology. MIS quarterly. 1989.

[14] Dignum V. The role and challenges of education for responsible AI. London Review of Education. 2021 Jan 13;19(1):1-1. https://doi.org/10.14324/LRE.19.1.01